



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
-----------------	-------------	----------------------	---------------------	------------------

10/572,958

07/13/2006

Dizhong Chen

14090-00003-US1

7522

30678

7590

05/26/2010

CONNOLLY BOVE LODGE & HUTZ LLP
1875 EYE STREET, N.W.
SUITE 1100
WASHINGTON, DC 20006

EXAMINER

STOCKTON, LAURA LYNNE

ART UNIT

PAPER NUMBER

1626

MAIL DATE

DELIVERY MODE

05/26/2010

PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No. 10/572,958	Applicant(s) CHEN ET AL.	
	Examiner Laura L. Stockton	Art Unit 1626	

All Participants:

(1) Laura L. Stockton {USPTO}.

(2) Mark J. Pino {Reg. No. 43,858}.

Date of Interview: 17 May 2010

Type of Interview:
☒ Telephonic
☐ Video Conference
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)
Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☒ No
If Yes, provide a brief description: .

Status of Application: 98

(3) _____.

(4) _____.

Time: 12:52pm

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

Claims discussed:

Prior art documents discussed:

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:
The Examiner called the Office of Applicant's representative on Monday, May 17, 2010 @12:52pm and left a voice mail message informing Applicant's representative that the application had been returned to the Examiner because of a printer rush. The Examiner indicated the reason for the printer rush and suggested an avenue to correct the printer rush. Mr. Mark J. Pino returned the Examiner's call @5:15pm of same day and left a voice mail message giving the Examiner permission to change lines 34-35 on page 20 of the specification by Examiner's Amendment with language proposed by Mr. Pino.

Part III.

☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.

☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

/Laura L. Stockton/

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)